

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/284,516		04/14/1999	JANAKIRAMAN RAMACHANDRAN 1103326-		2416	
7470	7590	03/08/2004		EXAMINER		
	& CASI		SEAMAN, D MARGARET M			
		F THE AMERICAS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10036				1625		
				DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			16	RAMACHANDRAN, JANAKIRAMAN				
			7	Art Unit				
			et Seaman	1625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	tion appears on the	ecover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL Sisions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutive to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evention.  lays, a reply within the starency period will apply and wention, by statute, cause the appropers.	ent, however, may a reply be to cutory minimum of thirty (30) do till expire SIX (6) MONTHS frou dication to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  VED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Qu	<i>layle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10) 🔲 -	) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) 🔲 .	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. N	ote the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		Paper No(s)/Mail   5) Notice of Informal	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	J. 00,000,	6) Other:	,				

Application/Control Number: 09/284,516

Art Unit: 1625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This application was filed 4/14/1999 and is a 371 of PCT/SE99/00319 (filed 3/4/1999) which claims priority to India 464/MAS/98 (3/6/1998) and Sweden 9801370-9 (4/20/1998). The office action of 2/10/2000 has been withdrawn as not being timely. Claims 1-11 are before the Examiner.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, i.e. "Use of" is not a statutory subject matter; however, "A method of using..." is proper statutory subject matter. Claims 1-7 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to non-statutory subject matter.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the claims are ambiguous as

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/284,516

Art Unit: 1625

being dependent from a claim that is drawn to non-statutory subject matter. Correction is required.

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the treatment of mycobacterium tuberculosis, does not reasonably provide enablement for the treatment of any and all diseases caused by mycobacteria. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. All of the tests within the specification are drawn to the treatment of mycobacterium tuberculosis. The specification does not test nor does it provide a nexus between M.bovis, M. avium or M. marimun and mycobacterium tuberculosis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/284,516

Art Unit: 1625

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 94/29272 and WO 93/12085.

WO 94 teaches in a small Markush the compounds of claim 8 (see page 2 line 10-30 and page 9 line 25+). The process of claim 9 is disclosed within a small Markush on page 7 lines 1-20). The pharmaceutical composition of claim 10 is disclosed on page 13.

WO 93 teaches claim 8 on page 3. Claim 9 is taught on pages 8-9. The composition of claim 10 is taught on page 17.

The difference between the prior art and the instantly claimed compounds is that the prior art (WO94 and WO 93) is that the prior art teaches a small Markush of compounds but does not specifically have an example wherein the X moiety (of the prior art) is an unsubstituted phenyl and is in the position required by the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/284,516

Art Unit: 1625

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the instantly claimed compounds could be made by the same process taught by the WOs with the result of getting compounds of the scope of the instant claims while having pharmaceutical activity. Rationale: The WOs teaches a large Markush and several smaller markushes. The smaller markushes contain the instantly claimed (claim 8) scope. The process for making the compounds (claim 9) does not differ with the exception of the specific X substitutient from the instant claims. The different X moiety would not be expected to interfere with the process taught by the WOs with the small change of the X to phenyl. The WOs teach a small Markush with the expectation that any compound that fits within that Markush would have pharmaceutical activity. Therefore the instantly claimed pharmaceutical composition (claim 10) would be encompassed by the teachings of the composition.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Margaret Seaman whose telephone number is 571-272-0694. The examiner can normally be reached on 630am-4pm, First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Margaret Seamar Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

dms